

1. The survey shows an APN for the wellsite as 153-100-013 which does not correspond to any owners parcel. That leads me to wonder if the existing wellsite is a fee interest and not an easement. If so we can simply grant a new easement far easier than trying to expand the fee interest parcel. Therefore to properly vest the easement it should be in the name of each owner served. However (and less properly) we could vest the easement in the name of the entity (Ramaldo) BUT as an owner I suspect you (and any lender) would prefer an appurtenant easement. Therefore I need proper legal description and vesting for EVERY owner (including the 1/22 interest holders?)
2. Complication
  - a. Existing secured financing on the well property to which Kurt is donating property?
    - i. Kurt's home loan is with Chase Savings and Loan
    - ii.
3. John Hebda who has done all of the searches in case you wish him to review this for you
4. Understand however that he made a special deal to do the title work for the water company that is complete, so any additional time he would spend to assist on this would be at your expense
5. If so we can simply grant a new easement far easier than trying to expand the fee interest parcel. Therefore to properly vest the easement it should be in the name of each owner served